

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/507,171	10/507,171 09/10/2004		Malcolm Pressley	038665.55361US 8960		
23911	7590	11/14/2006		EXAMINER		
CROWEL		=	KNOX, STEWART			
P.O. BOX 1	-	PERTY GROUP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				3641	
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summan	10/507,171	PRESSLEY, MALCOLM						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Stewart T. Knox	3641						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	entember 2006.							
	action is non-final.							
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
ciocca in accordance than the practice and a	A parto quayro, 1000 0.2. 11, 10							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•							
·	<u> </u>							
Application Papers	·							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Trip The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-192.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/507,171 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 1-5, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 11 recite the limitations "the pre-mixed explosive material" in the last line and second-to-last line, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, since all previous references were changed to "pre-mix explosive material."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donaghue (4,369,689) in view of Hiorth (4,191,480) in view of Halliday (4,966,077). Donaghue discloses an apparatus for the mixing of explosive materials, comprising a reservoir of pre-mixed explosive material (element 1), a reservoir of hardener material (element 7, col. 4 lines 40-45 isocyanate, a component of IPDI), a mixer (deflector plate 5), and a method of using the apparatus. Donaghue does not disclose the two reservoirs having separate pipe means to connect to a static mixer, wherein the materials are combined at the inlet of the mixer, or a hydraulic cylinder and ram assembly. Hiorth discloses a static mixer for the mixing of explosive materials

Application/Control Number: 10/507,171

Art Unit: 3641

from two reservoirs (A, B) that mix substantially at the inlet of the static mixer in order to solve the problem where the intermixing of the materials results in a change of consistency that hampers the further treating process (col. 2 lines 6-12) and provide a continuous mixing process that does not require any moving parts other than the materials themselves (col. 1 lines 13-16), thus reducing the problems associated with a finite pot life of the mixture (i.e. mechanical breakdowns, length of time that the mixture is combined before being dispensed). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the explosives mixer of Donaghue with the static mixer and pipe connections of Hiorth, since such a modification would provide the explosives mixer with a means of mixing explosives where the change in consistency (i.e. hardening or curing) or a breakdown in the machinery will be less likely to cause problems.

Page 3

3. With respect to the hydraulic cylinder and ram assembly, Halliday teaches that it is known to provide a hydraulic cylinder and ram assembly that is coupled to apply controlled pressure to a pre-mix explosive material, upstream of a static mixer (col. 4 lines 48-50, col. 5 line 65), in order to control the rate of finished material that exits the mixer and control the ratio of materials in the mixer (col. 1 lines 40-65, col. 3 lines 59-69 and col. 4 lines 1-4, col. 5 lines 29-69). With respect to claim 11, this further constitutes a flow meter (col. 5 lines 48-64, by counting cylinder strokes) for determining the flow of the pre-mix explosive material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mixing assembly of Donaghue to use a hydraulic cylinder and ram assembly as taught by Holliday, since such a modification would provide the mixing assembly with an apparatus to precisely control the composition and flow of the mixed explosive material.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,171

used in situations other than just the filling of bore holes.

Art Unit: 3641

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donaghue and the other references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of AECI Limited (UK Patent Application GB 2 205 386 A). Donaghue discloses the claimed invention except piping for filling ordnance with explosive material. AECI discloses an explosives mixer that utilizes a static mixer and channels the output into cartridge shells or other ordnance (pg. 1 lines 1-5). Alternately, the cylindrical tube of Donaghue is capable of being used to fill ordnance if it is placed over an empty shell. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the explosives mixer of Donaghue to be able to fill ordnance as well as bore holes, since such a modification would let the explosive composition be

Page 4

5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Donaghue, Hiorth, and Holliday as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Pyle

(4,503,994). The combined references disclose the claimed invention including an automated

ordnance fill level controller by way of a stroke counter in the hydraulic cylinder of Holliday, but

do not disclose the controller comprising at least one fiber optic sensor. Pyle discloses a fiber
optic liquid level sensing device that will shut off the flow of fluid when it reaches a certain

height. Conventional means for performing this task may have been as simple as a technician

observing the level of explosive in the borehole or ordnance and adjusting the motor/pump

accordingly. To one of ordinary skill in the art, though, this is inefficient and it would be

optimal to replace this with a more precise, non-human measuring tool to fill the container to a

predetermined level (col. 1 lines 15-16), and a fiber-optic shutoff system is disclosed. It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the explosives mixer of Donaghue

Application/Control Number: 10/507,171

Art Unit: 3641

to use a fiber-optic sensing device (as disclosed by Pyle) to determine when the bore hole or ordnance has been filled to the top, since such a modification would allow for the device to run more automatically and not require the constant input and monitoring of a human user.

Page 5

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Donaghue in 6. combination with the others as applied to claim 1 above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the explosives mixing assembly of Donaghue to mix plastic bonded explosives (PBX), since it was known in the art that PBX is a common explosive that can be cured with a curing agent such as isocyanate or IPDI as provided by Donaghue, and such a modification would allow the assembly to be used with many different types of explosives.

### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the Hill reference have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to modifying Donaghue (i.e. that Donaghue discloses use with solid particulates, and the application of pressure to such constituents is improper) are acknowledged, however, the Examiner notes that Donaghue is used for its teaching of mixing pre-mix explosive material with a hardening of curing agent in two separate reservoirs. Further, Donaghue teaches mixing the materials just before they are placed in their final location, rather than at some other location or time.
- Accordingly, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference 9. may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/507,171 Page 6

Art Unit: 3641

invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stewart T. Knox whose telephone number is (571) 272-8235. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (571) 272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

11/9/02 Primary Examinar Au 3641

Stewart Knox